

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/562,106	Applicant(s) ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Phuong T. Bui	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Phuong T. Bui.

(3) _____.

(2) Anne Carlson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 January 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The sequence is known but its function is unknown. There is no motivation to express an unknown protein. The Office suggests deleting "ortholog" to obviate potential issues under written description. Applicant authorized claim amendments as attached and cancellation of nonelected claims (not rejoined under In re Ochiai) by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)